

)	United States District Court
William Piphus)	Northern District of Illinois
JoAnn Piphus, et al)	
Plaintiffs)	
v.)	1:12-cv-07257
Board of Education of)	Judge Charles R. Norgle, Sr
City Of Chicago,)	Magistrate Judge Morton Denlow
Rahm Emmanuel, Mayor)	
Jean Claude Brizard CEO)	Demand for Jury Trial
Jeff Wright)	
LeAndra Khan)	
Defendants)	

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2012

THOMAS G. BRUTON
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Complaint

I. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1.) **The Plaintiffs believe this court has venue for this case in pursuant to:**
- 2.) **A.) Procedural Due Process.....14th Amendment; 435 US §247,
28 USC§ 1343, 42 USC§ §1983, 1985((3) and 1986.**
- 3.) **B.) Freedom of Expression1st, 5th and14th Amendment**
- 4.) **C.) Rights of Equal Protection.....18 USC§ 241, 42USC § 1981**
- 5.) **D.) The Causes of Action the Plaintiffs alleged are the facts from
allegations occurring in this Northern District of Illinois.**
- 6.) **E.) All parties in petition resides inside the State of Illinois**
- 7.) **F.) The amount of this controversy is over \$75,000.**

II. Introduction

8.) This action arises under the First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; under federal law, specifically, 42 U.S.C. §§ 247, 14141, 1983, 1985 (3) and 1986, 18 USC§ 241, 28 USC§ 1343 under Federal and State Laws for intentional and/or negligent infliction of emotional distress , negligence, negligent supervision, gross negligence, false arrest, failure to implement policy, customs and practices, and civil conspiracy. We the Plaintiffs charge that the City of Chicago through its agency and management selection, mainly the Chicago Board of Education with the leadership of Jean-Claude Brizard as CEO, Board Members and Commissioners that administers of policy, its Officers, Administrators or Employees held accountable for their actions that violate or break the law.

III. Parties

Plaintiffs

- 9.) JoAnn Piphus, Chicago, Illinois is Parent of "Pxx".
- 10.) William Piphus, Chicago, Illinois is Parent of "Pxx".
- 11.) Pxx (minor), Chicago, Illinois

Defendants

- 12.) **Rahm Emanuel, Mayor – City of Chicago**
- 13.) **Jean Claude Brizard, CEO –Chicago Public Schools**
- 14.) **Jeff Wright- Principal (at time of incident)**
- 15.) **LeeAndra Khan- Vice Principal**

IV. Facts:

- 16.) On March 26, 2012, Mr. and Mrs. Piphus received a call from the school (Ms. Khan Assistant Principal) at approximately 12:30 pm, regarding pornography on “Pxx” Twitter Page, after ten minutes of discussing this issue she stated that someone wanted to press charges against “Pxx” never stating that we should come to the school.
- 17.) We, Mr. and Mrs. Piphus, rushed up to the school and spoke with Ms. Khan, who took us to her office to show the Twitter Page of “Pxx.”
- 18.) Ms. Khan explained that she and Mr. Wright felt that the “pictures” of “Pxx was pornographic in which we disputed and informed Ms. Khan that the “picture” was “Pxx” in yellow hot pants that she wore around the house only and not what was being alleged by Ms. Khan and Mr. Wright -“ yellow panties.”
- 19.) Ms. Khan stated the title of her twitter page was inappropriate -“Chubby Baby.”

1 20.) We explained that "Chubby baby" is a nickname used for years by family and
2 friends and we were upset about "Kiss my Pink Box" and we wanted to know what it
3 meant.
4

5 21.) After ten or fifteen minutes of discussion about the web page Mr. and Mrs. Piphus
6 asked to see "Pxx" only to find out that "Pxx" had been and still was being
7 interrogated by two Police Officers without the presence of a Chicago School staff
8 member.
9

10 22) Ms. Khan took us to the Officers assigned space within the school.
11

12 23.) "Pxx" was sitting outside the Police Officers office.
13

14 24.) The Police Officer explained that the parents and accuser wanted to press assault
15 charges.
16

17 25.) The Police Officer brought all parties (parents and minor-accusers) Mr. and Mrs.
18 Piphus and Minor "Pxx" into the room (no school employee present).
19

20 26.) In the presence of all parties the minor accuser stated that she did not see "Pxx"
21 hit or kick her, but she saw her face while looking up from the ground (outside Leo
22 High- party cancelled and everyone put out of gymnasium)as she was being beaten.
23

24 27.) The Police Officer explained that "PXX" was being charged because on her
25 Twitter page she stated that "we beat that girl good" (never stating a name).
26
27
28

1
2 28.) in addition, besides the accuser's incident (There were more than five fights
3 inside and outside, at Leo High School).
4

5 29.) Joanna explained that she was trash talking to get more followers to come to her
6 twitter account and to not look like a lame.
7

8 30.) The Police Officer said, because she had "taken pictures" of blood from the
9 gymnasium's of Leo High School's floor (later proven pictures were down- loaded
10 from other Twitter accounts) and the statement she made on her web site --"she is
11 guilty".
12

13 31.) The Police Officer asked the parents of accusers "do you still want to press
14 charges" and the parents stated "Yes."
15

16 32.) The Police Officer then told Mr./ Mrs. Piphus and "Pxx" to leave the room so
17 that she could take the statement and file charges pending the arrest of "Pxx".
18

19 33.) The Police Officer called us back in the room after the accusers had left and told
20 us that "she was going to do us a favor" by not arresting "Pxx" on Monday, March 26,
21 2012, but instructed Mr. and Mrs. Piphus to bring "Pxx" back to school the next day
22 (March 27, 2012) to be charged and arrested.
23

24 34.) Mr. and Mrs. Piphus spoke to Principal Jeff Wright and told him that no due
25 process had taken place and that action taken was against the law.
26

1 35.) Parents asked if "Pxx" was going to be suspended and Mr. Wright stated "he
2 would write it up and get back to us and possibly it would be for a group level 3 or 5
3 violation of school policy."
4

5 36.) Mr. Wright was informed of the arrest by Mr. and Mrs. Piphus and he stated that
6 "once it becomes a police matter, we have nothing to do with it."
7

8 37.) Mr. and Mrs. Piphus, in complete shock, took "Pxx" home and started calling
9 Chicago Public School Safety and Security as other avenues for help.
10

11 38.) Mr. Wagner of Safety and Security, (Parents called three times before arrest and
12 after), stated "once it becomes a police matter, we have nothing to do with it."
13

14 39.) Ms. Piphus called the Chicago Public School Headquarters, explained the
15 situation and was still referred back to Mr. Wagner.
16

17 40.) Mr. Piphus, on March 27, 2012, was at the school at 8:00 am and asked Mr.
18 Wright how long "Pxx" was going to be suspended for?

19 41.) Mr. Wright stated he did not know and Mr. Piphus asked him if he had saw the
20 pictures of the email forwarded to him and his staff of the actual people who had taken
21 the pictures of the bloody floor and Mr. Wright said yes, and again Mr. Piphus stated
22 that what had transpired upon "Pxx" was wrong and again he said he would get back to
23 me.
24

25
26 42.) Mr. and Mrs. Piphus brought "Pxx" back to the school to be arrested on
27
28

1 Tuesday March 27, 2012 at approximately 11:00 am.

2 43.) Upon entering the school, Mr. and Mrs. Piphus ran into Ms. Khan.

3
4 44.) We asked Ms. Khan about the suspension and she told us that there would be no
5 suspension.

6 45.) King College Prep personnel sent Mr. and Mrs. Piphus and "Pxx" to the Office
7 where the police were stationed inside the school.

8
9 46.) Mr./ Mrs. Piphus left "Pxx" with the Police Officer who told Mr. and Mrs.
10 Piphus to leave the building, "go home or to the Juvenile Intervention and Support
11 Center (JICS)", located at 3900 S. California Avenue and wait in the parking lot for
12 her to call," while the Officer, had "Pxx" processed (arrested).
13

14 47.) "Pxx" was handcuffed at the school, and transported by another set of Police
15 Officers.
16

17 48.) Again, when fully informed the next day there was still no response to the
18 incident of minor "Pxx" from Mr. Wright or the Board of Education to Plaintiff.

19 49.) Within a short time period, thereafter, Mr. Wright finally responded with a letter
20 to the King College Prep's community, stating that he was resigning and taking a new
21 position out of state (Wisconsin).
22

23 50.) From March 27, 2012 until today, Mr. and Mrs. Piphus has asked the question: to
24 the Chicago Board of Education, CPS Safety and Security Staff,

25 "What are the current established procedures to address when a
26

1 Stranger walks into a school from off the street and states that a student
2 has violated the law, the alleged act happened off campus and wants to
3 press charges against a student?”
4

5 51) From March 27, 2012 until today, Mr. and Mrs. Piphus has asked the question: to
6 the Chicago Board of Education, CPS Safety and Security staff, “is the Chicago Public
7 School, specifically King College Prep with assigned Police Officers, a police station
8 (state) or a school of institutional learning”?.
9

10 52.) From March 27, 2012 until today Mr. and Mrs. Piphus has asked the question: to
11 the Chicago Board of Education, CPS Safety and Security staff, “where is the CPS
12 written report of the incident regarding “Pxx” ?.
13
14

15 53.) From March 27, 2012 until today Mr. and Mrs. Piphus has asked the question: to
16 the Chicago Board of Education, CPS Safety and Security staff, “who is in charge of
17 Chicago Public Schools Security and Safety: CPS Safety and Security or the Chicago
18 Police Department?”
19

20 54.) From March 27, 2012 until today Mr. and Mrs. Piphus has asked the question: to
21 the Chicago Board of Education, CPS Safety and Security staff,
22 “How can the school, allow police officers to remove “Pxx” from classroom without
23 notifying parents and without Chicago Public School personnel being present during
24 an interrogation?”
25
26

1
2 55.) The plaintiffs allege and believe that there is a double standard in issuing
3 discipline at King College Prep, whereas Officer Gross son, a sophomore, in May
4 2012, instigated a fight, drew blood and did not receive discipline as established by the
5 Board of Education.
6

7
8 56.) From March 26, 2012 until today "Pxx" has nightmares and feels that Chicago
9 Public School is not a place of safety.
10

11 57.) "Pxx and Mr./ Mrs. Piphus feels the humiliation of the false arrest on school
12 property, in front of "P"s peers, handcuffed, charged and found guilty all within a
13 twenty-four period to be cruel and unusual punishment.
14

15 58.) The Plaintiffs believes strongly that the Defendants evasiveness to provide
16 an answer cannot be simply ignored and the application of the *gray area* cannot be
17 explained to fit, nor be applied.
18

19 59.) Plaintiffs allege if there is no Standard Operating Procedure in place,
20 then the existing law must be supreme and takes on precedent as the applicable law to
21 engage this incident, "P"; the application of law must be:
22

23 To Protect the Minor (First)
24

25 60.) The Plaintiffs asked, "What other rights do juveniles have when they are under
26 arrest in State of Illinois?"
27
28

1 61.) The Plaintiff believes and is informed that our rights are protected under the law
2 and enforceable by the law without reservations.

3
4 62.) Our pleas to the defendants that the actions being taken, at the time, against
5 minor "Pxx" were erroneous and unlawful.

6
7 63.) Therefore, the Plaintiffs come before these courts humbly with a plea for legal
8 justice.

9
10 **V. Causes of Actions**

11 **COUNT I**

12
13 **Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §1983**

14 **(General Allegations)**

15 64.) *Plaintiffs re-allege and by referencing to the allegations in paragraphs 1-63 of*
16 *this Complaint.*

17 65.) In committing the acts in the complaint, Defendants acted under color of law to
18 deprive Plaintiffs of certain constitutionally protected rights under the the Constitution
19 of the United States including, but not limited to: a) the right of freedom of speech and
20 freedom of expressions; b) the right not to be deprived of liberty without due process
21 of law; c) the right of innocent until proven guilty under due process of the law; d) the
22 right to be free from false prosecution leading to false arrest, and other civil liberties
23 by persons acting under color of state law.

24 66.) In violating Plaintiffs' rights as stated above, defendants acted under color, with
25 the power to prevent and protect Plaintiff "Pxx", allowed an unauthorized,

1 warrantless arrest of Plaintiff "Pxx", a student of King College Prep, on Chicago
2 Public School's property.

3 67.) The illegal and warrantless arrest set in motion the violation of Plaintiffs' rights
4 under the First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution.
5

6 68.) As a direct and as a result of the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights by
7 the Defendants, Plaintiffs suffered general and special damages as alleged in this
8 Complaint and are entitled to relief under 42 U.S.C §1983.
9

10 **Count II**

11 **Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §1983 (Failure to Implement Appropriate Policies, Customs and Practices)**

12 69.) Plaintiffs re-allege by referencing the allegations in paragraphs 1-63 of this
13 Complaint.

14 70.) Plaintiffs alleged that the Defendant City of Chicago, with its Mayor, Rahm
15 Emanuel has a duty and vision to ensure that the rights of minors, in the care of the
16 Chicago Public Schools under the leadership of Jean Claude Brizard, CEO create a
17 concise and specific set of rules, policies and tactical agenda regarding strangers
18 entering the school to press charges against a Chicago Public School student.

19 71.) Plaintiffs allege that the Defendant City of Chicago, by allowing Police Officers
20 to be placed within the Chicago Public Schools, have created a Police State within the
21 schools, which is not governed by any prescription of the laws or bona fide judicial
22 prudence as governance and no true ramification for legal actions.

23 72.) The absence of transparent policies, practices, customs, rather implemented or
24 followed or by custom and the lack of evenly applied controls and treatment has
25 caused severe long term emotional distress to the Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs are entitled
26 to compensatory and punitive damages.
27
28

Count III

**Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 14th Amendment; 435 US§247
(Procedural Due Process)**

73.) Plaintiffs re-allege by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1-63 of this Complaint.

74.) Defendant Khan and Wright, in concert with the other Defendants at the scene, intentionally violated the civil rights of the Plaintiffs with total disregard for Plaintiffs' protected rights, even after being informed that the process was illegal.

75.) The conduct of Defendants, under the color of law, either with the specific intent to violate the Plaintiffs' civil rights or with a reckless disregard of the probability of facts for innocence or truth, caused severe emotional distress to the Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages.

Count IV.

**Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §1983
(Gross Negligence)**

76.) Plaintiffs re-allege by referencing the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-50 of this Complaint.

77.) Defendant Khan did not call Plaintiff's immediately when the strangers entered the school with the intent to cause harm to "Pxx", to press charges against "Pxx", and never telling Plaintiff's to come to the school immediately, failed in her duties to ensure "Pxx" safety within the Chicago Public School.

78) Plaintiffs allege the Defendants actions caused a conscious violation of "Pxx's" rights to safety.

79.) The Plaintiffs alleged that by enrolling "Pxx" in Chicago Public School a

contract binds Defendants to actively provide due care for “Pxx” safety.

Count V

Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §1983

(Negligence)

80.) Plaintiffs re-allege by referencing the allegations set forth in paragraphs 63 of this Complaint.

81.) Plaintiffs allege Defendants, having the power to prevent, aided and allowed accusers to move forward with warrantless and illegal witch hunt that caused and (“disturbed the orderly flow of the school’s operations”).

82.) Plaintiffs allege that defendant Wright and Khan allowed the parents of the accuser to dictate Chicago Board of education policy by not referring accusers back to the correct venue initially if they wanted to press charges and as matter of police.

83.) Each Defendant owed Plaintiff “Pxx” the color of duty to use due care or the duty to prevent aforementioned incident.

84.) In committing the acts, each Defendant negligently breached their duty to use due diligence, which resulted in the severe injuries and damages to the Plaintiffs.

Count VI.

Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241, 42 USC 1983

(Civil Conspiracy)

85.) Plaintiffs re-allege by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1-63 of this Complaint.

1 86.) The Plaintiffs allege Defendant Wright and Khan, under the color of law, each
2 or as a group, conspired in the execution of their official duties, used actions that
3 caused plaintiffs irreparable injuries, while each had the power to prevent harm, under
4 customs or by procedures or by law.

5 87.) Plaintiffs alleged, being informed the defendants harmed, inflicted suffering by
6 not taking illegal due process seriously by stating “(when the police is involved, it’s
7 out of our hands)” , actions not to prevent, conspired with other defendants parties to
8 fortify the injuries to plaintiffs.

9 88.) Plaintiff allege Defendants, under the color of law, silence thereby caused the
10 plaintiff emotional harm by being unable to neither defend nor protect Plaintiffs from
11 this illegal process.

12 89.) Plaintiffs allege and charge that Defendant Mr. Jeff Wright, Principal
13 was informed by Plaintiffs that action being taken was unlawful and due process was
14 not taking place.

15 90.) Again, when fully informed the next day there was still no response to the
16 incident of minor “Pxx” and stated that he would get back to Plaintiff;

17 91.) A short time period thereafter, Mr. Wright finally responded with a letter to the
18 King College Prep’s community, stating that he was resigning and taking a new
19 position out of state (Wisconsin).

20 92.) We the Plaintiffs allege that after approximately six years serving as principal
21 and six weeks before summer break moved forward with his urgent exit strategy
22 unimpeded, at the same time not answering the real pleas at hand, to protect and the
23 duties of his employment to prevent harm to plaintiff “Pxx”.

24 93.) The Plaintiffs allege and charge that Defendant Wright weighed the gravity, the
25 uncertainty of the situation, and sought protection – his reputation.
26

1 94.) The Plaintiffs allege and charge that Defendant Wright being informed of the
2 veracity with regards to "Pxx" stated, (it was a police matter and out the
3 hands of the school) yet held fastened to the idea and/or slanderous position he
4 saw pornography on "Pxx"'s Twitter page.

5 95.) The Plaintiffs are alleging and charging that the Defendant Wright's staff
6 (teacher) made an inquiry about what was going on and his statement to them
7 was "a matter of pornography "pictures" found on student's Twitter page", and
8 continued injuries to plaintiffs.

9 96.) The Plaintiffs are alleging that this information given out would be part of the
10 driving forces that later for "Pxx" being labeled as a whore by peers which was and
11 continue still to be most emotionally hurtful to plaintiff(s) "Pxx"s.

12 97.) The Plaintiffs' are alleging that Defendant Wright being informed by Plaintiff
13 that due process was not taking placing for plaintiff "Pxx", after learning of imminent
14 arrest, rescinded suspension, to protect the Chicago Board of Education from their
15 responsibilities in the improper handling of their official duties.

16 98.) The Plaintiffs are alleging that Defendants Wright and Khan casted harm on the
17 Plaintiffs' by continuing to state that "once the police take over an incident we have
18 nothing to do with it anymore."

19 99) Plaintiffs further charge that as a result of defendant Wright's actions and
20 inactions, or having the power to prevent, or officially to discharge his duties as leader-
21 administrator fortified the injuries and imprinted critical harm to Plaintiffs.

22 100.) The Plaintiffs are alleging that through the "code of silence", the Chicago Board
23 of Education aligned with King College Prep administration has conspired to deny
24 Plaintiffs a copy of the report from the Officers or any documentation of the incident,
25
26
27
28

1 interrogation and other facts, even though the arrest was initiated by the Defendants at
2 King College Prep, and continues to harm Plaintiffs.

3 101) The Defendants' actions involving aforementioned incident participated in a
4 common design through a concert of action to protect Officers by making overtly false
5 statements in their reports regarding the circumstances surrounding the Plaintiff
6 "Pxx's" incident.

7 102.) In committing the aforementioned acts, each Defendant directly and proximately
8 injured, damaged, libeled, and caused emotional distress to the Plaintiffs.

9 Count VII

10 Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §1983
11 (False Arrest)

12 103.) Plaintiffs re-allege by referencing the allegations in paragraphs 1-63 of this
13 Complaint.

14 104.) Plaintiffs allege and charge that Defendants Wright and Khan's causable actions
15 were not to preventing harm, protecting "Pxx" and allowing illegal
16 process to take place on school grounds was the main factor in causing severe harm to
17 Plaintiffs.

18 105.) In violating Plaintiffs' right to be free from false arrest and the securities of
19 being safe in school, the Defendants violated Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourth, Fifth,
20 Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

21 106.) As a direct and proximate result of the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional
22 right to be free from false arrest by the Defendants, Plaintiffs suffered serious
23 emotional injuries and special damages as alleged in this Complaint and are entitled to
24 relief under 42 U.S.C. §1983.
25

Count VII

**Violation of Civil Rights Pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §§1983, 1981
(Emotional Distress)**

107.) Plaintiffs re-allege by referencing the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-63 of this Complaint.

108.) Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, with the power to prevent, allowed harm by allowing the Police Officer to remove "Pxx" from classroom with no CPS personnel present.

109) Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, with the power to prevent, and after being told that the Police Officer requested that "Pxx" come back to King College Prep, during school hours, the following day, to be arrested, having at Defendants Disposal to use expertise opinion to verify procedures and rules, was silent.

110.) If it so warranted an arrest, Plaintiffs were seeking an opportunity for Common decency to delivery "Pxx" to the juvenile detention center as responsible parents and not in the harmful way in which defendants allowed.

111.) Plaintiffs allege that after thoroughly questioning Plaintiff "Pxx", with no parent, and no Chicago Public School employee present, and gathering all necessary details about the alleged incident at Leo High School does not follow Chicago Board of Education Operational Procedural Manual as to practice, custom and due process.

112.) In committing acts each Defendant negligently breached their duty by the intentional infliction of emotional distress, which directly resulted in more severe emotional distress injuries to Plaintiffs as alleged.

113.) Plaintiffs feel the humiliation of allowing the Police Department to dictate when a student can be brought to the school to be arrested caused harm and injury.

1 114.) "Pxx" and Plaintiffs feel the humiliation of being brought into the school, in
2 front of "P"s peers, handcuffed, charged and found guilty all within a twenty-four
3 period to be cruel and unusual punishment.

4
5 Prayer for Relief

6
7 A.) The Plaintiffs pray that all records with regard "Pxx"s arrest, be
8 expunged or destroyed so by the order of the court.

9 B.) The Plaintiffs are requesting that as a result this attack on Plaintiff's
10 "Pxx"s present and future well being, that a full college scholarship
11 fund be constructed by the defendants, on behalf of "Pxx", to help
12 underline and drive "Pxx" innocence request toward future success.

13 C.) The Plaintiffs are requesting, by order, expeditiously, that all
14 severe medical needs, both present and future, are provided by
15 Defendants to Plaintiffs.

16 D.) **Damages:**

17 1.) We are asking the court for amount of controversy
18 to be 2.5 million dollars (Protected) in relief as result of the
19 long term severe impact to Plaintiffs.

20 2.) Plaintiffs are asking for the relief make restitution for
21 administrative costs and any and all associate costs in relationship
22 this petition.

23 3.) The Plaintiffs are asking that any findings or matters of
24 facts or matters of law be incorporated in this petition for and as
25 relief.
26

G.) A jury for the trial of this matter.

54.) Therefore, the Plaintiffs affix their signatures to this petition without reservations and ~~with good faith~~:

1.) William J. ... dated 9/
2.) Joan Pipher dated 9-11-2012
3.) dated

773-483-0335

Page 19

EXHIBIT 1

A, B and C

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(A)

Profile

"Pxx"

As the Parent's of Joanna Piphus, we have attempted to develop a positive and righteousness of character to define our daughter. We have, over the last fifteen years, worked continuously to instill the positive values of right/ wrong, of family and God. Our grand strategy for our daughter's future was to craft an academic scholar to measure both our knowledge and over the objections of our own limitations to allow her to have the tools of chance for the many possibilities in life. Thus, we firmly believed, quite possible, through academic excellence.

From the time of kindergarten to high school, straight A and Valedictorian, we have carefully measured and controlled a positive path and will continue to do so.

To highlight this commitment and achievement, we are presenting a recent school's project assignment completed by Joanna on "Employment and Resume Building" to profile Joanna and who she really is and the milestones purported.

**This is what this incident is attempting to take away or
what is being destroyed is as follows:**

(A con't)

Resume

Joanna Piphus

7624 South Lafayette

Chicago, Illinois 60620

Phone: 1773-483-0335

Young.scholar@yahoo.com

Professional Objective: To Become a Science College Professor

Work History

- Studying
- Completing Assignments
- Home Chores

Education:

High School Current GPA 3.71

Class Rank 23 /271 Percent: .08

08/-09-06/11 University Of Chicago Charter School

Graduate: Diploma June 10, 2011

**** Valedictorian****

Turner Drew Language Academy
Arthur Dixon Elementary School

August 2008 - June 2009

September 2003 - June 2008

Activities:

- Volleyball
- Swimming
- Media Club
- Yearbook
- Track

Interests:

- Graphic Design
- Science/Technology
- Salem Youth Ministry

Experience:

Word Processing: I can utilize Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, and Powel Point.

(A con't)

Photoshop: I design pictures and posters using Photoshop for family, friend, and events.

Community Service: I passed out food at Evangelic Ministry Church and participated in the Chicago Food Depository Walk for Hunger

Achievements:

Certificates:

2012 Second Place Winner in City of Chicago - *Black History Month Essay Competition 2012: King College Prep High School. Sponsors: American Bottling Group, Roosevelt University and Chicago Public Schools CPS*
Rewards: Plaque, camera and photo printer and dinner at Walter Payton high school

2011 Completion: Awarded University of Chicago Charter School - ***Diploma *** June 2011
Plaque: ****Valedictorian **** University of Chicago Woodlawn 2011

2010 PRESIDENT'S EDUCATION AWARDS PROGRAM - PREESIDENT'S AWARD FOR EDUCATOINAL EXCELLENCE "In recognition of OUTSTANDING ACADEMIC EXCELLENCES" notes: 8th Grade (Gold)
Accompany Metals

- University of Chicago Torch Awards Medal
- University of Chicago A Honor Roll Awards Medal

2009/ PRESIDENT'S EDUCATION AWARDS PROGRAM - PREESIDENT'S AWARD FOR EDUCATOINAL EXCELLENCE

2010 "In recognition of OUTSTANDING ACADEMIC EXCELLENCES" notes: 7th Grade (Silver)
Accompanying Metals:

- University of Chicago Torch Medal 2010-2009 T2
- University of Chicago Torch Medal 2010-2009 T3
- Principal Scholar Honor Roll Medal 2010-2009 T1
- Principal Scholar Honor Roll Medal 2010-2009 T2
- Principal Scholar Honor Roll Medal 2010-2009 T3
- Science Fair Medal of Achievement 2009

2010 CHICAGO PUBLIC SCHOOLS – STUDENT SCIENCE FAIR INC.

"PRESENTS THIS CITATION – IN RECOGNITON OF SCIENTIFIC ABILITY AND PERFORMANCE: WATERWHEEL PADDLE"

ACCOMPANYING:

- Ribbon of Excellence

Dixon Elementary School

Certificates:

- Science Fair Achievement Certificate 2008
- Honor Roll Certificate 2008
- Perfect Award Certificate 2008

(A con't)

- Certificate Service Award "Pennies for Patients Campaign" 2008
- Perfect Attendance 2007
- Bestowed Science Achievement Certificate 2007
- Principal's Award of Outstanding Achievement 2007
- Engineer Science Certificate 2006
- Haiku Festival 2006 Recognition Certificate 2006
for Poetry written by Joanna Piphus
- Principal's Award of Outstanding Achievement 2006
- Outstanding Student of Month Certificate 2006/2005
- Principal's Award of Outstanding Achievement 2005
- Honor Roll "A" Certificate 2005
- Certificate of Citizenship - Student of the Year 2005
- Honor Roll Certificate 2004

Trophies

- Honor Roll 3rd Marking Period 2007-2006
- Principal's Award Academic Achievement 2007-2008
- Science Fair 1st Place 2007-2008
- Science Fair 3rd Place 2005-2006
- DES Perfect Attendant Award 2007-2008
- Honor Roll 4th marking period 2004-2005
- Principal's Award "A" Honor Roll 2006-2007
- DES 3rd marking "A" Honor 2007-2008
- Certificate for Perfect Attendances 2005-2006
- Principal's Apple Achievement Award 2006-2007

Medals

- Flaming Achievement Medal 2003-2004
- Science Fair Achievement Medal 2008-2009
- Reaching for the Stars Medal 2005-2006
- Drum Corps Medal 2007-2008
- Citizens Medal 2007-2008
- "A" Honor Roll Medal 2007-2008
- Music Mastery Medal 2006-2007
- Genie Achievement Medal 2004-2005
- Honor Roll Medal 2003-2004

(A con't)

Plaques

- Science Fair 1st Place 2007-2008
- Special Recognition – Achievement 2003-2004
- Special Recognition – Achievement 2004 -2005
- 3rd Place Science Fair 2005- 2006
- Principal's Apple Award 2004 -2005

Ribbons

- 1st Place Volleyball 2007-2008
- 2nd Place Relay 2005-2006
- 1st Place Relay 2005-2006
- 1st Place Relay 2005-2006
- 4th Place Relay 2005-2006
- 3rd PLACE DES Science Fair 2005-2006

Tuner Drew Language Academy

- Certificate of Honor Roll "A/B" 2009
- Certificate of Honor Roll "A/B" 2008
- Certificate of Achievement JA 2009
- Certificate Global Marketplace 2008

Preschool

- Certificate of Fun – Spelling Bee 2002
- Certificate of Fun – Spelling Bee 2001

How do you amend a culture that was built upon "officer friendly;" where respect and help were clearly defined and engraved: and where the greatest memories from interactions and relationships were receiving coloring books? Have we painted a picture of a ghetto mentality and are we that type of family; is our daughter the "hood rat" that some now say she is; and is she the "low class whore" that others are now professing she is? Peer pressure is a searing force. Worse is there nothing you can do about it. Character assassination is worse yet – you are left to live with it unabated and so surreal, and it hurts.

The Parents
we pray

EXHIBIT 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

ORIGINAL CASE INCIDENT REPORT

3510 S. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60653

(For use by Chicago Police Department Personnel Only)

CPD-11.388(6/03)-C

RD #: **HV213479**

EVENT #: **1208501389**

Case ID: **8536700 CASR229**

IUCR: **0460 - Battery - Simple**

Occurrence **7901 S Sangamon St**

Location: **Chicago IL**

299 - School, Private, Grounds

Occurrence Date: **24 March 2012 23:00**

Beat: **0621**

Unit Assigned: **0434R**

RO Arrival Date: **25 March 2012 02:35**

Offenders: **1**

CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT
ORIGINAL CASE INCIDENT REPORT3510 S. Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60653
(For use by Chicago Police Department Personnel Only)
CPD-11.358(6/03)-C)

RD #: HV213479

EVENT #: 1208501389

Case ID: 8536700 CASR229

ASSIGNED TO SUMMARY

IUCR: 0460 - Battery - Simple

Occurrence 7901 S Sangamon St

Beat: 0621

Unit Assigned: 0434R

Location: Chicago IL

RO Arrival Date: 25 March 2012 02:35

299 - School, Private, Grounds

Offenders: 1

Occurrence Date: 24 March 2012 23:00

PARENT/GUARDIAN Individual

Name: [REDACTED]

Res: [REDACTED]

Beat: [REDACTED]

Beat: 5100

Demographics

Female

White Hispanic

DOB: [REDACTED]

Age: [REDACTED]

VICTIM Individual

Name: [REDACTED]

Res: [REDACTED]

Beat: [REDACTED]

Beat: 5100

Demographics

Female

Black

DOB: [REDACTED]

Age: [REDACTED]

Sobriety: Sober

INJURY

Injured by offender

Injury Extent: Minor

Hospital: [REDACTED]

Type

Bruised

Weapon Used

Hand/Feet/Teeth/Etc.

Other Weapon Used

Other - Hands And Feet

Suspect #1

Name: UNK FEMALE

Demographics

Female

Age:

17 years

5'06,

130 lbs

Brown Eyes

Black Hair

Short Hair Style

Dark Complexion

Description

Clothing Description: Top - Sport Bra Halter Top; Bottom - Multi - Use As Mul/Col Leggings Other

Suspect #2

Name: OFFENDER(S)-NO DETAILS

Demographics

Chicago Police Department - Incident Report

RD #: HV213479

SUSPECTS	[REDACTED]	
----------	------------	--

RELATIONSHIP	RELATIONSHIP	
	(Victim)	(Offender)
	[REDACTED]	UNK FEMALE
	is a No Relationship of	
(Victim)	(Offender)	
[REDACTED]	OFFENDER(S)-NO DETAILS	
is a No Relationship of		

DOMESTIC INFO	[REDACTED]	
---------------	------------	--

OTHER	Miscellaneous	
	Victim Information Provided	Flash Message Sent ? No

NARRATIVE	<p>EVENT 01389 IN SUMMARY, UPON ARRIVAL TO [REDACTED] HOSPITAL [REDACTED] (VICTIM) RELATED THAT AFTER A VERBAL ALTERCATION, THE UNKNOWN FEMALE OFFENDER SHOVED HER TO THE GROUND AND BEGAN HITTING HER ABOUT THE FACE A BODY WITH HER FISTS AND FEET. OTHER OFFENDERS THEN JOINED IN. [REDACTED] (VICTIM) DID NOT KNOW THE OFFENDER. [REDACTED] (VICTIM) IS EXPECTED TO BE TREATED AND RELEASED FOR HER INJURIES.</p> <p>REPORTING OFFICER - STAR#: NAME: KENNEDY BEAT: 0434R</p>	
-----------	---	--

PERSONNEL		Star No	Emp No	Name	User	Date	Unit	Beat
	Approving Supervisor	1226	[REDACTED]	KAPA, Christoph, J	[REDACTED]	25 Mar 2012 05:17	004	
	Detective/Investigator	21198	[REDACTED]	LAZZARA, Steven, J	[REDACTED]	26 Mar 2012 09:21	620	
	Reporting Officer	16016	[REDACTED]	CHRISTENSEN, Amy, C	[REDACTED]	25 Mar 2012 03:08	004	0434R

ARRR222



CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT
Bureau of Investigative Services / Youth Investigations Group
3510 South Michigan Avenue/Chicago, IL 60653



Page 1 of 1

CPD-24.137 (3/99)

INFORMAL STATION ADJUSTMENT

Y# :	CB # : 18372433	RD # : HV213479	[] Override
Name : PIPHUS, JOANNA		Sex : FEMALE	Race : BLACK
DOB : 02-MAR-1997		Phone : 7734830335	
Address : 7624 S LAFAYETTE AVE CHICAGO IL 60620			
Charges : BATTERY - CAUSE BODILY HARM		[] MCC [X] Misdemeanor [] Felony	
Override: Youth Div Supervisor :		ASA :	
Dist. Of Arrest : 002		Arresting Officer(s) : GROSS, KARYN / BROWN, DENNIS	
[] Miranda Warnings Administered [] Previous History Attached			
Summary : M/R arrested for battery. Inf. Released to parent.			

Felony: Formal Adj: 0	Informal Adj: 0	MDM Formal Adj: 0	Informal Adj: 0	Total: 0
------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	-----------------

SPECIFIC CONDITIONS HEREIN IMPOSED*Informal Adjustment Begins :**Informal Adjustment Terminates:*

If Minor refuses to or fails to abide by the conditions of this Informal Station Adjustment, the Youth Investigator may impose a Formal Station Adjustment or refer the matter to the State's Attorney's Office.

I understand and fully agree to the terms and conditions described above.

Signature of Minor: _____		Date: _____
Parent/Guardian: _____		Signature: _____
Address: _____		Phone: _____
Father: PIPHUS, WILLIAM	Address: 7624 S LAFAYETTE AVE CHICAGO IL 60620	Phone: 773-483-0335
Mother: _____	Address: _____	Phone: _____
Youth Investigator: _____	Unit: _____	Supervisor: _____
Signature: _____		Signature: _____

Informal Station Adjustment Completed: [] Successfully [] Unsuccessfully **Date Adjustment Violated:** _____

Conditions Violated:

Youth Investigator: _____ **Unit:** _____ **Date:** _____

Exhibit 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **Source: Rossi, Rosalind. Cops in School too Costly: CPS. Oct 25, 2011. Chicago Sun-Times.**
2 **<http://www.suntimes.com/news/metro/6298235-418/cops-in-schools-too-costly-cps.html>**
3
4
5
6

7 (...)
8

9 "We're looking at if we need two police officers in every high school all day long. My guess is we
10 don't," CPS Chief Administrative Officer Tim Cawley told the Chicago Sun-Times.

11 "We hope to reduce our expenses in that area, but only in those schools where we can do that without
12 compromising safety."

13 Some schools may not need any officers; others might be able to get by with only one, Cawley said.
14 Or, off-duty officers could be hired instead of on-duty police, Cawley said.

15 **The uniformed officers, stationed in high school rooms outfitted with police**
16 **department computers, are supposed to provide "a presence" — especially at**
17 **arrival and departure times, Cawley said. They also can help with weapons**
18 **screenings, record school problems and provide a quick response to any**
19 **"ruckus," he said.**

20 (...)
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28